

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,958 07/21/2003		/21/2003	Michael P. Mandina	MPM-560	6057
37282	7590	10/20/2004		EXAM	INER
		WALD P.C.	RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
349 W. COMMERCIAL STREET SUITE 249 EAST ROCHESTER, NY 14445-2408				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		•	3723	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(W)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,958	MANDINA, MICHAEL P.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M Rachuba	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ie correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be sply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the cause the application to become ABAND	the timely filed  I days will be considered timely.  I from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4,6-10,12,13 and 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1,5,11 and 14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 7/21/03 is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the corresponding to the corresponding to the part of the corresponding to the co	/ 15-20 is/are withdrawn from co /or election requirement.  ner.  accepted or b) □ objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	the Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic fority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<b>∆</b> □	OTC 442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 8) 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/623,958

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species 2 in the reply filed on 2 September 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1 and 11 are generic, and that the examiner did not properly set forth the reasons for restriction as required in MPEP 808.02. This is not found persuasive because whether or not more than one of the listed claims are generic does not effect the examination of non-elected claims until a generic claim is found allowable. Further, applicant's reliance upon MPEP 808.02 is in error-the pertinent passage is MPEP 809.02, which deals with the election of species. In requiring an election of species, the examiner is required to list the species which are claimed, and indicate if any claims are generic. As this has been done, applicant's request for reconsideration has been considered, but no new restriction will be made. The examiner agrees that claim 11 is generic.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 2-4, 6-10, 12-13 and 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2 September 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/623,958 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 5, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Blum, 3,889,426. '426, figures 1 and 2, discloses the claimed invention, please refer to column 3, lines 10-22). Further, '426 discloses that the polishing pad cover at least two-thirds of the lens surface, and is shown in figure 1 as being almost twice larger than the lens surface. This can be interpreted as the polishing pad is comprised of a surface having a surface area which is less than 0.15 times as great as the surface area of the workpiece, the surface being any part of the pad which is less than 0.15 times as great as the surface area of the workpiece.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar devices are cited of interest.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 703-308-1361. As of 15 November 2004, the examiner's new telephone number will be (571) 272-4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/623,958

**Art Unit: 3723** 

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Rachuba Primary Patent Examiner